

# An Approach to find out at which Maintainability Metric, Software Maintenance Cost is less

C.V.S.R Syavasya

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Gitam University  
Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh 530045, India

**Abstract**— In this paper, we introduce a new process to obtain the maintenance cost by taking release-level model and retrieve values from previous projects and find out software maintenance costs of current project. we come across three parameters in which the main issue is to find software maintenance cost lies with maintainability metric. After deriving the values, we arrive understandability, modifiability and testability parameters, which finally create a way to find the software maintenance cost for current project. Also we arrived six cases in order to find out at which least maintenance cost is less and obtained results.

**Keywords:** Understandability, Modifiability, Testability, Annual Change Traffic

## I. INTRODUCTION:

Frequently, software companies look for maximum productivity while developing their products, but leave the maintenance stage in second place. This is an error, because, as much experience has revealed, this is the very stage which consumes the greater portion of resources, more than 60%. Apart from the obvious economic implications, if productivity in the maintenance stage is low, the persons employed to develop the project may need to invest much of their time in later maintenance. Such is the experience which the authors have gleaned from previous projects and from the application of their estimating methods to real projects. Consequently, if a company wishes to take on further projects, it must include an entirely new team among its staff. This means at least a partial loss from the experience gathered by the first team, becoming unavailable for further projects. Furthermore, the new team would need to be trained in methods and tools used by the software company. This has implications for validation efforts.

## II. BACKGROUND

These are the five processes used to calculate final maintenance costs.

### 1. Maintainability index

Maintainability is, beyond doubt, the software quality factor with the most influence in the maintenance stage. A study made by W. Itzfeld in Germany and compiled by Wallmüller (1994) presents quality metrics ranking in which maintainability metrics were reported in first position by 67% of those asked. Using the definition of maintenance we

summarized earlier, Boehm (1979) recognized the importance of maintainability.

One of his studies indicated that maintenance costs for software with low maintainability had a relation of 40 to 1 with respect to new development. It is obvious that an interdependent relationship exists between maintainability characteristics of developed software and maintenance cost. So, in order to calculate the estimated maintenance cost we must consider a factor that indicates a measurement of the maintainability (facile in respect to maintenance) of the product. That is to say, we must ascertain the relationship between the estimated maintenance cost and those characteristics which make a program more or less maintainable. There are two steps to formulate this model:

- (1) Establish maintainability measurements.
- (2) Obtain the maintainability functions which relate the established metrics and the maintainability index.

Before taking up these two points we should bear in mind the three main activities which occur in maintenance. To reflect them, the maintainability index is divided into three component indices: an understandability index, a modifiability index and a testability index.

To calculate the maintenance cost, we consider a factor that indicates a measurement of the maintainability.

Taking as a starting point for estimating maintenance cost, Maintenance Index factor is included as follows:

$$MM_{main} = ACT \cdot MM_{dev} \cdot I_{main}$$

$$I_{main} = f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$$

$I_{main}$  shows inverse degree of maintainability.

High values indicate low maintainability, low values indicate high maintainability.

$I_{main}$  determines relationship between the estimated maintenance cost and characteristics.

This makes a program more or less maintainable.

There are two steps to formulate this model:

- (1) Establish maintainability measurements.
- (2) Obtain the maintainability functions which relate the established metrics and the maintainability index.

### 2. Maintainability components

As just suggested, maintenance action can be dividing into three parts:

**understanding** the changes to be made, **modifying** or making the change, and **testing**, or verifying the changes made.

These are clearly differentiated and performed one after the other, so the maintenance cost could be considered to be the sum of three costs expressed in man-months: understanding, modifying and testing:

$$MM_{MAIN} = MM_U + MM_M + MM_T$$

we will have three maintainability indexes,  $I_U, I_M$  and  $I_T$ , which relate the two parameters of a software project, ACT and  $MM_{DEV}$ , to the three components of maintenance cost expressed in man-months:

$$MM_U = ACT \cdot MM_{DEV} \cdot I_U$$

$$MM_M = ACT \cdot MM_{DEV} \cdot I_M$$

$$MM_T = ACT \cdot MM_{DEV} \cdot I_T$$

Consequently and in a parallel manner the maintainability index,  $I_{MAIN}$ , will be given as the sum of these three equally weighted indices which may have very differing values,

$$I_{MAIN} = I_U + I_M + I_T$$

Where:

$I_{MAIN}$  = maintainability index,  $I_U$  = understandability index,  $I_M$  = modifiability index, and  $I_T$  = testability index.

### 3. Maintainability metrics

The model proposed here, which has been used in case studies, considers only three software characteristics. Each one directly affects one maintainability component.

#### $X_U$ : understandability metric

The number of comment lines for every 100 lines of code. We observe that there is a close relationship between the internal documentation of the code and understanding cost. As expected, as the value of the understandability metric increases (number of comment lines), the understandability index (directly proportional to understandability cost) decreases.

#### $X_M$ : modifiability metric

The number of lines without constant data for every 100 lines of code. We observe that the more numerous the constant data in the code, the bigger the modification cost.

#### $X_T$ : testability metric

The number of error testing lines for every 100 lines of code. We observe that testing the code will be simpler if there are error detection and treatment procedures built into the code. These three characteristics have been chosen because we observe that they are easily measured, and they have a great influence on maintainability. Nevertheless, the model can be applied whatever the metrics chosen, provided the interdependence between each metric and its maintainability component can be demonstrated.

### 4. Maintainability functions

To incorporate the maintainability metrics, we introduce the maintainability function F. This is a statistically determined relationship between the metrics  $X_U, X_M$  and  $X_T$  just described, and the indices  $I_U, I_M$  and  $I_T$ , and can be summarized as follows:

$$I_U = F_U(X_U)$$

$$I_M = F_M(X_M)$$

$$I_T = F_T(X_T)$$

To obtain these F functions, it is necessary to use something fundamental to all estimation processes, historical data. The experience acquired in former projects is of great value in estimating new projects. Thus, the management procedures of the software project must include mechanisms which allow us to take these measurements:

(a) of the developed product:

$X_U$ : understandability metric,

$X_M$ : modifiability metric, and

$X_T$ : testability metric;

(b) of the maintenance process:

$MM_U$ : understanding cost expressed in man-months,

$MM_M$ : modifying cost expressed in man-months, and

$MM_T$ : testing cost expressed in man-months.

The measurements of the maintenance process must be made annually. Every year, the annual change traffic (ACT) experienced must be determined, and with that, the cost expended in each task (understanding  $MM_U$ , modifying  $MM_M$  and testing  $MM_T$ ) must be measured in man-months for the entire ACT.

Maintainability indexes can then be obtained from the measured maintenance efforts using a simple formula.

For example and consistent with expression , for the understandability index, the formula that implements expression using historical data is:

$$I_U = MM_U / (ACT \cdot MM_{DEV})$$

where:

$I_U$  = understandability index,

$MM_U$  = maintenance understanding cost expressed in man-months,

ACT = annual change traffic, and

$MM_{DEV}$  = development cost expressed in man-months.

In a parallel manner, we obtain numeric values for  $I_M$  and  $I_T$  by using the modifying and testing efforts respectively, project by project.

company's experts could assign relative weights to the ACT values on a project independent basis. Values of 1 carry the unweighted ACT values forward from the HT into the estimates of the future ACT.

**T**: Matrix of  $n \times 1$  elements indicating the average annual change traffic in each project  $T = (ACT_1 \ ACT_2 \ .. \ ACT_n )^T$ .  $ACT_i$  = annual change traffic for project I where the superscript index 'T' expresses the operation transposed matrix.

**C**: Matrix of  $1 \times m$  elements indicating the characteristics of the current project of concern. The provision of these data requires the intervention of expert personnel.

This is when the group of characteristics will be revised. Modification of this group requires updating the HT, revising the characteristics of all the projects in the HT.

$C = (c_1, c_2, ..c_m)$

$c_j$  = Characteristic j for the current project

Two possible values:

1: The project has the characteristic

0: Otherwise

**B**: Matrix of  $n \times 1$  elements indicating the rate of coincidence the current project has in relation to each project of the HT—

that is, the sum of characteristics they have in common (each characteristic contributes to the sum according to its historical ACT values).

$$B = A * C^T$$

Where the symbol \* indicates the product of matrixes.

Using these matrix definitions, the future ACT is then estimated by the following formula:

$$ACT = ((B * T^T)/(B^T * B))$$

In this way each project is involved in calculating the estimate as far as its characteristics match those of the project under study.

**5. Implementation method**

In this method, we calculate the values of understandability metric in man-months, modifiability metric in man-months, testability metric in man-months by fetching values from the history table.

To calculate the value of the parameter MM<sub>u</sub>, the formula is,

$$MM_u = ACT * MM_{DEV} * I_u$$

Where, ACT values is derived with the above mentioned formula in maintainability functions and MM<sub>dev</sub> is a constant value to be taken as 57.

To calculate the value of the parameter MM<sub>m</sub>, the formula is,

$$MM_m = ACT * MM_{DEV} * I_m$$

To calculate the value of the parameter MM<sub>t</sub>, the formula is,

$$MM_t = ACT * MM_{DEV} * I_t$$

The reason why MM<sub>dev</sub> values is taken as constant is that if the value of MM<sub>dev</sub> is varied then there will be no possibility of comparing the maintenance cost. Hence by setting the MM<sub>dev</sub> as a constant, we vary the parameter Xu which will arrive in the formula of I<sub>u</sub>.

The formula to calculate I<sub>u</sub> is,

$$I_u = a * e^{b * X_u}$$

The formula to calculate I<sub>m</sub> is,

$$I_m = a * e^{b * X_m}$$

The formula to calculate I<sub>t</sub> is,

$$I_t = a * e^{b * X_t}$$

where the values of a and b are obtained by taking two equations and by solving those two equations based on history table.

Here Xu value is varied based on the given range numerical value as 17 in the case study. By taking the range of starting value X<sub>u</sub>, we can I<sub>u</sub> can be calculated for different cases.

Finally, after calculating I<sub>u</sub>, it is multiplied by the other two parameters ACT and MM<sub>dev</sub> to get MM<sub>u</sub>.

In the same way we calculate modifiability in man-months (MM<sub>m</sub>), testability in man-months (MM<sub>t</sub>).

Hence, Finally after calculating the values of MM<sub>u</sub>, MM<sub>m</sub>, MM<sub>t</sub>, the values of all three parameters are added to obtain total Maintenance costs.

Hence the formula to calculate total maintenance cost is:

$$MM_{MAIN} = MM_u + MM_m + MM_t = ACT * MM_{DEV} * (I_u + I_m + I_t)$$

The above mentioned formula is used to calculate software maintenance costs of any project which gives correct idea

about the method and process to calculate software maintenance cost.

**III. RESULTS**

Below are the results obtained by taking six cases, where in each case, development cost in man-months is taken as constant. For each case, we take maintainability metric ranges between 14 to 20 and find out at which metric , software maintenance cost is less.

**CASE-1 X<sub>u</sub>/X<sub>m</sub>/X<sub>t</sub> MM<sub>MAIN</sub>**

14	30.69
15	28.924
16	27.397
17	25.91
18	24.55
<b>22.07</b>	<b>20</b>

In this case, the software maintenance cost is less at maintainability metric value 20. By taking 20 for all the three parameters that is understandability, modifiability, testability indexes, it is obtained that at 20 the maintenance cost is less that is 22.07.

**HISTORY TABLE FOR CASE-1**

PROJECT	X <sub>u</sub>	I <sub>u</sub>	Γ <sub>u</sub> =Ln I <sub>u</sub>	X <sub>u</sub> <sup>2</sup>	X <sub>u</sub> Γ <sub>u</sub>
P1	14	0.60	-0.51	196	-7.14
P2	11	0.75	-0.29	121	-3.19
P3	15	0.53	-0.63	225	-9.45
SUM	40	1.88	-1.43	542	-19.78

Hence , we conclude that as maintainability index value increases , maintenance cost decreases.

This can be applied for any project. We calculate maintenance cost using history table values. History table values for each case is obtained by taking values from the rage of history table given in case study.

This is the table which is taken to calculate a and b values for understandability index. In the same way we take other two tables to calculate modifiability index and testability index.

**CASE-2 X<sub>u</sub>/X<sub>m</sub>/X<sub>t</sub> MM<sub>MAIN</sub>**

14	22.608
15	21.431
16	20.424
17	19.441
18	18.541
<b>16.88</b>	<b>20</b>

In this case, the software maintenance cost is less at maintainability metric value 20. By taking 20 for all the three parameters that is understandability, modifiability, testability indexes, it is obtained that at 20 the maintenance cost is less that is 16.88.

Hence , we conclude that as maintainability index value increases , maintenance cost decreases. This can be applied for any project.

We calculate maintenance cost using history table values. History table values for each case is obtained by taking values from the rage of history table given in case study.

This is the table which is taken to calculate a and b values for modifiability index. Here the table for understandability remains which is taken in case-1.

HISTORY TABLE FOR CASE-2

PROJECT	$X_M$	$I_M$	$\Gamma_M = \text{Ln } I_M$	$X_M^2$	$X_M \Gamma_M$
P1	10	0.35	-1.04	100	-10.4
P2	5	0.45	-0.79	25	-3.95
P3	9	0.28	-1.27	81	-11.43
SUM	24	1.08	-3.1	206	-25.78

CASE-3  $X_u/X_m/X_t$   $MM_{MAIN}$

14	26.385
15	24.964
16	23.737
17	22.54
18	21.46
20	19.5

In this case, the software maintenance cost is less at maintainability metric value 20. By taking 20 for all the three parameters that is understandability, modifiability, testability indexes, it is obtained that at 20 the maintenance cost is less than 19.50.

Here, we conclude that as maintainability index value increases, maintenance cost decreases. This can be applied for any project.

We calculate maintenance cost using history table values. History table values for each case are obtained by taking values from the range of history table given in case study.

This is the table which is taken to calculate a and b values for modifiability index. Here the table for understandability remains which is taken in case-1. In the same way we take other table to calculate modifiability index and testability index.

HISTORY TABLE FOR CASE-3

PROJECT	$X_M$	$I_M$	$\Gamma_M = \text{Ln } I_M$	$X_M^2$	$X_M \Gamma_M$
P1	11	0.45	-0.79	121	-8.69
P2	7	0.65	-0.43	49	-3.01
P3	13	0.38	-0.96	169	-12.48
SUM	31	1.48	-2.18	339	-24.18

CASE-4  $X_u/X_m/X_t$   $MM_{MAIN}$

14	41.38
15	40.812
16	40.627
17	40.71
18	41.12
20	42.91

In this case, the software maintenance cost is less at maintainability metric value 16. By taking 16 for all the three parameters that is understandability, modifiability, testability indexes, it is obtained that at 16 the maintenance cost is less than 40.627.

Here for values 14, 15, 16 maintenance cost is decreasing, but from that point, as metric value is increasing, maintenance cost is also increasing, this is due to influence of b values obtained on calculation of maintainability index. As b value becomes positive and increasing, then the order would be as

metric value increases, maintenance cost also increases. This can be applied for any project.

We calculate maintenance cost using history table values. History table values for each case are obtained by taking values from the range of history table given in case study.

This is the table which is taken to calculate a and b values for testability index. Here the table for understandability remains which is taken in case-1. In the same way we take other table to calculate modifiability index and modifiability index.

HISTORY TABLE FOR CASE-4

PROJECT	$X_T$	$I_T$	$\Gamma_T = \text{Ln } I_T$	$X_T^2$	$X_T \Gamma_T$
P1	10	0.65	-0.43	100	-4.3
P2	12	0.78	-0.24	144	-2.88
P3	11	0.58	-0.54	121	-5.94
SUM	33	2.01	-1.21	365	-13.12

#### IV. CONCLUSION:

Maintainability is a quality factor to be taken into consideration when estimating the cost of the maintenance stage in a software project. For this reason a factor for indicating the maintainability of a software product must be a part of the calculation of this estimation. This factor is called "maintainability index". The interdependence between this index and a set of software metrics, which represent maintainability characteristics, is of great interest.

The main element of this research is historical data from previous projects, an indispensable element for all activities including making estimations. In this paper the problems of estimating the cost of the maintenance process have been solved with our model, using data collected from previous projects.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Martin, Software Maintenance: The Problem and Its Solution. Prentice-Hall, 1983.
- [2] B. W. Boehm, —Software engineering economics,|| IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, no. 1, pp. 4–21, 1984.
- [3] B. W. Boehm and P. N. Apaccio, —Understanding and controlling software costs,|| IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, vol. 14, no. 10, pp.
- [4] F. Niessink and H. van Vliet, —Predicting maintenance effort with function points,|| in Software Maintenance. IEEE, 1997, p. 32.
- [5] N. Chapin, J. Hale, K. Khan, J. Ramil, and W. Tan, —Types of software evolution and software maintenance,|| Journal of software maintenance and evolution: Research and Practice, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 3–30, 2001.
- [6] M. Jørgensen, —Experience with the accuracy of software maintenance task effort prediction models,|| IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 674–681, 1995.
- [7] M. Polo, M. Piattini, and F. Ruiz, Advances in software maintenance management: technologies and solutions. Idea Group Inc (IGI), 2003.

#### AUTHOR BIOGRAPHY



CVSR SYAVASYA has done M.Tech in Software Engineering from GITAM UNIVERSITY, Visakhapatnam, A.P., INDIA. My research areas include Software Reliability, Software Quality and Software Cost Estimation Techniques.